



## Research Proposal Evaluation Format

### Reviewer Comment

- 1. Significant and originality:** will the stated goals lead to substantive contribution (theoretical and/or practical) to the field? Is the proposal creative and/or innovative? Is the proposal original in terms of concept and organization?
- 2. Approach and methodology:** is the approach adequate to accomplish the proposed study? Is it grounded in the appropriate scholarly literature? Are the methodologies described clearly?
- 3. Scientific Merit:** In your best understanding, does the finding of this proposal be publishable in peer reviewed journals and/or applicable for Community service?
- 4. Feasibility:** are the resources, logistics and timeframe of implementation adequate to conduct the study?
- 5. Budget:** is the budget adequate and reasonable? Is every item justified and relevant to the need of the project?
- 6. What would you suggest the researcher do to improve the proposed research?**



### Research Proposal Evaluation Criteria

Research Proposal: **Accepted (>50%)**

**Rejected (<50%)**

No	Title of the Project	Evaluator's Name	Scoring Criteria						Total	
			Problems well Defined	Clear Methodology	Clear Objective	Applicability	Innovation	Technical feasibility		Multi-disciplinary
			20%	20%	5%	15%	10%	20%		10%

**Applicability:** How the proposal will increase knowledge in the field and will be applied to the betterment of society (contribute to the country development plan)

**Innovation:** How proposed concepts, approaches, methods, tools, or technologies used in the research may represent an innovative or novel approach to a specific development/scientific challenge (is the research likely to produce new data and concepts or confirm existing hypotheses?) in the context of BDU or the country